

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/749,430                      | 12/28/2000    | Nicholas Sauriol     | 56130.000066            | 5692            |
| 759                             | 90 11/18/2004 |                      | EXAM                    | INER            |
| James G. Gatto, Esq.            |               |                      | PAN, YUWEN              |                 |
| Hunton & Williams<br>Suite 1200 |               |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| 1900 K Street, N                | 1. <b>W</b> . |                      | 2682                    |                 |
| Washington, DC 20006            |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  | $\vee$                    |
|---|---|---|---------------------------|
| Advisory Action   | 09/749,430  | SAURIOL ET AL.  |                           |
| Advisory Action   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |                           |
|   | Yuwen Pan   | 2682  |                           |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address -   | -                         |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | oid abandonment of this applica<br>a timely filed amendment which   | ation.  A proper reply to a<br>n places the application ir            | า                         |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | PLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |                           |
| a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date  |   |   |                           |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH  | g date of the final rejection.<br>HE FINAL REJECTION. See N           | 1PEP                      |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a). | f extension and the corresponding amo<br>the shortened statutory period for reply<br>be later than three months after the mai | unt of the fee. The appropriate<br>originally set in the final Office | e extension<br>action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF  | Brief must be filed within the pe<br>R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o  | eriod set forth in<br>f the appeal.                                   |                           |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:   |   |                           |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further  | er consideration and/or search (  | see NOTE below);  |                           |
| (b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b   | pelow);   |   |                           |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or   | n better form for appeal by mate  | rially reducing or simplify   | ing the                   |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli  | ng a corresponding number of f  | inally rejected claims.   |                           |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.   |   |   |                           |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  |   |   |                           |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a se   | eparate, timely filed amer  | ndment                    |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:  | reconsideration has been cons   | idered but does NOT pla   | ce the                    |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ause it is not directed SOLELY  | to issues which were new  | vly                       |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   | c(s) a)  will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo  | )∏ will be entered and a<br>ow or appended.                           | n                         |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |   |                           |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |   |                           |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |   |                           |
| Claim(s) rejected:  |   |   |                           |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |                           |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app  | roved or b) disapproved by  | the Examiner.   |                           |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme   |   | ·   |                           |
| 10. Other:  | ,   | World '   |                           |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |   | VIVIAN CHIN   |                           |
| •   | SUPERVIS  | PORY PATENT EXAMINER  |                           |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 2. NOTE: at least the newly added limitation "verfying that the radio programming is in the appropriate format; converting the format of the radio programming if it is not in the apropraite format" requires further search and consideration..